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Background: This article provides evidence-based background and recommendations for the development of American College of Chest Physicians guidelines for the diagnosis and management of lung cancer. Specific population, intervention, comparison, and outcome questions were addressed to arrive at consensus recommendations.

Methods: A systematic search of the medical and scientific literature using MEDLINE and PubMed was performed for the years 1990 to 2011 and limited to literature on humans and articles written in English. Our approach to examining the evidence and formulating recommendations is described in the “Methodology for Lung Cancer Evidence Review and Guideline Development: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (2nd Edition)” and updated in “Methodology for Development of Guidelines for Lung Cancer: Diagnosis and Management of Lung Cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines.”

Results: Pathologic examination results of lung cancers should be recorded in a synoptic form to include important prognostic features of histologic type, tumor size and location, involvement of visceral pleura, extension to regional and distant lymph nodes, and metastatic spread to visceral organs and bone to increase completeness of recording. It is important for the surgical pathologist to make distinctions between malignant mesothelioma and pleural adenocarcinomas, small cell and non-small cell carcinomas, adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas, and primary and metastatic carcinomas of the lung. In challenging cases of pathologic differential diagnosis, additional studies may enable the separation of distinct tumor types.

Conclusions: Pathologic assessment of lung cancers is a crucial component for the diagnosis, management, and prognosis of lung cancer, making the pathologist a critical member of the clinical and management team. Selective diagnostic techniques, including limited designed immunohistochemical panels, and decision analysis will increase diagnostic accuracy.
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Abbreviations: AIS = adenocarcinoma in situ; CK = cytokeratin; MIA = minimally invasive adenocarcinoma; NSCLC = non-small cell lung carcinoma; SCLC = small cell lung carcinoma; TTF-1 = thyroid transcription factor-1

Summary of Recommendations

2.1.1. When pathologically diagnosing patients with lung cancer, the synoptic reporting of histologic type, tumor size and location, tumor grade (if appropriate), lymphovascular invasion, pleural involvement, surgical margins, and status and location of lymph nodes by station is recommended (Grade 1B).

3.1.1. In individuals with pleural-based tumors, a designated limited panel of histochemical and immunohistochemical assays or ultrastructural analysis is recommended to distinguish between pleural adenocarcinoma and malignant mesothelioma in order to increase diagnostic accuracy (Grade 1B).

4.1.1. In individuals with parenchymal-based tumors, distinguishing between small cell...
carcinoma and non-small cell carcinoma of the lung is recommended. For challenging cases, a diagnostic panel of immunohistochemical assays or ultrastructural analysis is recommended to increase the diagnostic accuracy (Grade 1B).

5.1.1. For individuals with glandular producing tumors, distinguishing adenocarcinoma in situ and minimally invasive adenocarcinomas from invasive adenocarcinomas is recommended (Grade 1C).

Remark: Pathologic discrimination among these diagnostic entities are made on complete review of the tumor and not on needle biopsies.

6.1.1. In individuals with pathologically diagnosed non-small cell lung cancer, additional discrimination between adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma, even on cytologic material or small tissue samples, is recommended (Grade 1B).

Remark: The precise subclassification is achieved in most cases by conventional histo- and cytomorphology. Immunohistochemical assays are recommended in cases where routine histopathologic differentiation is difficult to ascertain.

7.1.1. For individuals with lung tumors whose differential includes primary lung carcinoma vs metastatic carcinoma, a directed panel of immunohistochemical assays is recommended to increase the diagnostic accuracy (Grade 1C).

The histopathologic identification of lung tumors includes clinical history and presentation, radiographic features and patterns, diagnostic surgical pathology and cytopathology, and immunohistochemical and molecular studies. A multidisciplinary team approach ensures accurate and meaningful diagnostic information, appropriate staging, and relevant prognostic information for disease management.

The goal of pathologic examination is to identify and characterize a specific histopathologic tumor diagnosis that satisfactorily correlates with the radiographic images and provides important ancillary data. Information from the pathologic examination should be organized in a form usable by the treating team of clinicians and may be presented in the pathology report as a synoptic database. The synoptic database provides pathologic information to help the treating physician deduce the pathologic tumor stage and appreciate histopathologic features that inform tumor biology and provide insights into therapeutic options and management decisions. The pathologist must also consider a range of diagnostic studies to eliminate benign, tumor-like conditions, such as infections, inflammatory masses, immunologic disorders, developmental anomalies, and pneumoconiosis, that may mimic a neoplastic condition. In certain cases, the pathologic examinations may be enhanced by histochemical and immunohistochemical assays as well as by electron microscopic ultrastructural, cytogenetic, and molecular studies. The need for selective studies should be communicated to the pathologist in advance of specimen acquisition so that special handling and processing techniques are administered in a timely manner. Many of these studies may be elected following initial review of the cytologic or histologic sections. Collaborative approaches to establish tumor banks for research and protocol studies should be encouraged.

This article on the pathology of lung cancer is an update of the findings and recommendations of the “Diagnostic Surgical Pathology in Lung Cancer: ACCP Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (2nd Edition)” article of the second edition of the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) Lung Cancer Guidelines. In addition to the previous topics about the pathologic differential diagnosis of pleural-based malignancies and the distinction of small cell from non-small cell carcinoma, the current article also addresses new population, intervention, comparison, and outcome questions and discusses new terms, such as “adenocarcinoma in situ” (AIS) and “minimally invasive adenocarcinoma” (MIA). Given the results of new chemotherapeutic trials, differentiation among
non-small cell carcinomas, particularly the separation of squamous cell carcinomas from adenocarcinomas, is emphasized. The article also discusses the separation of primary cancers from metastases from other organ sites. There has been considerable information regarding molecular aspects of lung cancer and the targeted therapy of particular pathways and their receptors or enzymes; these topics have been channeled into a separate article by Nana-Sinkam and Powell, “Molecular Biology of Lung Cancer,” in the ACCP Lung Cancer Guidelines.

1.0 METHODS
A systematic search of the medical and scientific literature using Embase, MEDLINE, and Cochrane Library search engines was performed for the years 1990 to 2011, and results were limited to literature on humans and articles written in English. The search was performed as a review and update of the “Diagnostic Surgical Pathology of Lung Cancer: ACCP Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (2nd Edition).” The searches were performed by an ACCP methodologist, and the authors supplemented these searches with a review of references from relevant reviews and other pertinent literature. The searches were performed to respond to the following population, intervention, comparison, and outcome questions:

1. Among patients with lung cancer, what pathologic findings should be reported?
2. Among pleural-based malignancies, what approach and tests should be performed for diagnostic accuracy?
3. Among lung cancers, what approach and tests should be performed to distinguish small cell and non-small cell carcinoma?
4. Among glandular malignancies, what diagnostic criteria should be performed to separate AIS, MIA, and invasive adenocarcinoma?
5. Among non-small cell cancers, what approach and tests should be performed to distinguish squamous cell carcinoma from adenocarcinoma?
6. Among cancers of the lung, what approach and tests should be performed to distinguish primary vs metastatic cancers.

The recommendations in this article were graded by a standardized method and critically assessed and reviewed by the entire lung cancer panel, the Thoracic Oncology Network review committee, the Guidelines Oversight Committee, selected Editorial Board members of CHEST, and the Board of Regents of the ACCP.

2.0 PATHOLOGIC STAGING
Tumors within the lung represent a frequent diagnostic challenge and include both primary and metastatic neoplasms. Overwhelmingly, primary malignant lung tumors are carcinomas, namely epithelial neoplasms, and have historically been divided into small cell and non-small cell carcinomas. Non-small cell carcinomas may be further separated into squamous cell carcinomas with keratin expression, adenocarcinomas with glandular expression, and large cell carcinomas without distinctive cellular features. The classification of other carcinomas, such as salivary gland type, mesothelial, hematopoietic, and mesenchymal tumors, have been described, and their histologic subtyping can be accurately rendered. The precise designation of the histopathologic type is encouraged and may be achieved by routine techniques and special studies. The designation of carcinoma not otherwise specified or non-small cell carcinoma should only be rendered in a minority of cases. In addition to the identification of tumor histology, further interpretation of tumor grade, differentiation, architectural pattern, nuclear characteristics, cytoplasmic expression, host stromal, and inflammatory response should be noted.

Macroscopic and microscopic examination provides diagnostic and prognostic staging information regarding the tumor size and location, permeation of the visceral pleura, presence of lymphovascular and perineural invasion, and spread to hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes. Mediastinal lymph nodes should be designated according to their surgical station, as defined by the surgeon (Fig 1). Histologic assessment of non-tumorous areas of lung may reveal underlying pathologic conditions, such as smoking-related pathologic changes; pneumoconioses; parenchymal scarring; and secondary effects of the tumor, such as obstructive pneumonia. Additional studies, such as immunohistochemistry, in situ hybridization, and molecular biologic techniques, may amplify the diagnostic precision and supply prognostic information for therapeutic management. Routine histologic assessment and special diagnostic studies may be seen as a multiparameter system that provides increasingly prognostic and predictive information of tumor biology and clinical behavior. The categorical TNM staging of lung cancers provides prognostic stratification, criteria for patient inclusion and exclusion in protocol studies and treatment and management subgroups, and improved communication among members of the multidisciplinary team.

Certain important issues in pathologic staging are worthy of consideration. The size of the tumor, when surrounded by lung, should be measured in the unfixed state because formalin fixation tends to cause a slight shrinkage of the tumor size. Tumors that are < 1 cm may also be measured microscopically, and the macroscopic and microscopic sizes may be presented in the synoptic report. Although the size of the tumor is a major factor in the T stage, smaller tumors that penetrate the visceral pleura (pT2) or extend into the parietal pleura and invade the chest wall (pT3) are upstaged despite their size. For cancers that appear to approach the visceral pleura, elastic tissue histochemical staining (van Gieson stain) will readily identify the wavy elastic fibers of the pleura and demonstrate interruption or permeation of the fibers by infiltrating tumor.
Cancers may also induce elastic fiber production surrounding the tumor and stromal elastosis, and an inflamed pleura may show fibroelastosis. In these cases, critical assessment of the tumor growth pattern and pleural elastica is necessary for correct staging. Pleural puckering alone is not an indication of visceral pleural extension by the tumor. The visceral pleura is rich in lymphatics, and cancer invasion into the pleura may increase its risk of dissemination. Similarly, smaller cancers that invade the chest wall by histologic demonstration of tumor infiltration of adipose tissue or skeletal muscle are staged up to pT3.

The assessment of margins in resected specimens is usually straightforward and often conforms to the surgeon’s intraoperative judgment. Pathologists color the macroscopic surgical resection margins with ink that is recognizable in tissue sections. Resections that easily clear the advancing growth front of the tumor (R0) or those with obvious gross tumor at the margin (R2) are seen intraoperatively. Histologic examination may indicate microscopic tumor foci at the inked resection margin, indicating a positive margin (R1). Positive resection margins are a significant indicator for tumor recurrence and decreased survival. There is no evidence or consensus regarding the distance from the tumor growth to the inked margin for the determination of a biologically negative margin. In most cases, tumor recurrence will present distantly and not necessarily locally. The status of bronchial resection margins are usually discerned intraoperatively by frozen section with good results. In the absence of gross tumor extending to the bronchial margin, R1-positive margins may be identified microscopically because of mucosal in situ carcinoma or tumor within the bronchial wall or lymphatics.

Pathologists, along with other physicians, believe that templates and checklists provide for a greater information database and communication with other clinicians in the patient-directed team.14-18 Many professional national organizations and expert committees have created diagnostic protocols or disease-based checklists to represent a standard inclusion within the diagnostic pathology report.19-25 The diagnostic protocol should help to build a patient database for prognosis and treatment and be readily translatable for tumor staging; include relevant histologic features; and report the results of special studies, such as ultrastructural diagnosis, flow cytometric data, and molecular findings.19,20 In the setting of neoadjuvant therapy, the protocol should also incorporate treatment results of tumor regression or persistence and information regarding therapy-related host response. These diagnostic protocols may allow for review and modification of the information database. The studies cited here have demonstrated the utility of pathologic diagnostic protocols, including a more complete pathology report, greater communication among members of the clinical patient care team, and adaptability for hospital tumor registries and research.

2.1 Recommendation
2.1.1. When pathologically diagnosing patients with lung cancer, the synoptic reporting of histologic type, tumor size and location, tumor grade (if appropriate), lymphovascular invasion, pleural involvement, surgical margins, and status and location of lymph nodes is recommended (Grade 1B).

3.0 MALIGNANT MESOTHELIOMA VS ADENOCARCINOMA

Diffuse malignant mesothelioma is an aggressive tumor arising from the lining cells of pleura, peritoneum, or pericardium.27-29 The incidence of malignant mesothelioma is strongly correlated with occupational or environmental exposure to asbestos fiber, and other
pathologic findings may be identified, such as pleural and diaphragmatic plaques. The characteristic radiographic findings of an encasing pleural tumor with pulmonary parenchymal or mediastinal extension can be grossly appreciated in a radical surgical specimen and is a helpful feature in establishing the diagnosis.\(^{37}\)

However, in the modern era of minimally invasive procedures, the diagnosis is often rendered on small thorascopic biopsy and effusion cytology specimens, with an emphasis on early detection and intervention with the intention to cure.\(^{30}\) In this context, malignant mesothelioma should be distinguished from lung carcinomas and a variety of metastatic pleural-based carcinomas as well as benign reactive mesothelial proliferations.\(^{31,32}\) Although cytologic features are helpful in determining the cell lineage as mesothelial, histologic evidence of true stromal or parenchymal invasion is required to establish the diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma.\(^{33}\)

Haphazard irregular infiltration of tumor cells into a thickened pleura and deep penetration of chest wall fat indicate invasion, whereas a layered or zonal pattern of mesothelial proliferation associated with fibrin and granulation tissue is supportive of a reactive process, such as fibrosing pleuritis. In addition, the vasculature of inflammatory or reactive pleural pathology tends to form elongated capillaries that are perpendicular to the pleural surface, in contrast to a more irregular neovascularization induced by tumor. It should be acknowledged, however, that the assessment of true invasion can be challenging in small biopsy samples, particularly the presence of artifactual fat-like spaces (the fake fat phenomenon) in between the mesothelial cells in the thickened pleura of organizing pleuritis.\(^ {34}\)

At times, a cytokeratin (CK) immunostain may be helpful in highlighting the disorderly arrangement of invasive mesothelial cells vs a horizontal array of reactive mesothelial cells. More recently, it has been shown that the concentration of mesothelin, a cell surface glycoprotein, is higher in pleural effusions of patients with malignant mesothelioma than in those with non-malignant effusions or malignant effusions of other sources.\(^ {35}\)

Several molecular markers aimed at early detection of malignant mesothelioma have been investigated, and the homozygous deletion of the p16INK4a/p14ARF locus at chromosome arm 9p21 shows promising results.\(^ {30}\) Malignant mesotheliomas may demonstrate a biphasic histologic pattern of mixed epithelial and sarcomatoid type in a significant minority of cases and are readily diagnosed without ancillary testing. More commonly, the tumors show a pure epithelial type with a tubular and papillary pattern that must be distinguished from a primary lung cancer or pleural-based metastasis. The least common pattern, the sarcomatoid type, appears as a mesenchymal spindle cell malignancy with features resembling a fibrosarcoma.

The differential diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma and other carcinomas, especially adenocarcinomas, requires a growing number of ancillary studies, including histochemistry; ultrastructural studies; immunohistochemistry; and, more recently; molecular markers.\(^ {36,37}\) Together, calretinin and Wilms tumor gene protein show > 80% specificity, whereas CK5/6 has a specificity of about 60%. Recently, D2-40 (podoplanin), a monoclonal antibody directed against an M2 protein of fetal germ cells and a known marker of lymphatic endothelium, has shown great promise in elucidating the mesothelial origin as an adjunct to previously described markers.\(^ {38,39}\)

Using these assays and evaluating the clinical data and imaging studies together with the histopathologic assessment, one can improve the diagnostic accuracy of the biopsy or resection specimen. The available clinical information and pathologic data will provide a pretest probability of diagnosis, and the immunohistochemical test characteristics (sensitivity and specificity) will enable the generation of a posttest probability for a negative and positive predictive value for the diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma.

A variety of immunomarkers that support the diagnosis of adenocarcinoma are available in clinical practice. Immunoreactivity for carcinoembryonic antigen, Ber-Ep4, B72.3, CD15 (LeuM1), MOC-31, and Lewis-BG8 are overwhelmingly positive in a variety of carcinomas and are infrequently seen in mesotheliomas. Thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF-1) is expressed in the majority of lung cancers as well as in follicular and medullary thyroid cancers and is nonreactive in metastatic adenocarcinomas (from the breast, GI tract, and ovary) and nonreactive in malignant mesothelioma (Fig 2).\(^ {40}\)

It should be noted that the utility of some of these immunomarkers is not as well established in mesothelioma.

![Figure 2. Immunohistochemical panel for malignant mesothelioma and adenocarcinoma.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunohistochemical Marker</th>
<th>Malignant Mesothelioma</th>
<th>Adenocarcinoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Absent(^a)</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B72.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD15 (LeuM1)</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTF-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokeratin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calretinin</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CEA = carcinoembryonic antigen; EMA = epithelial membrane antigen; TTF-1 = thyroid transcription factor-1; WT1 = Wilms tumor gene protein.

\(^a\)Malignant mesothelioma may show immunoreactivity in < 5% of cases. \(^b\)Adenocarcinoma may show immunoreactivity in < 10%-20% of cases.
differentiating mesotheliomas from nonpulmonary adenocarcinomas (peritoneal and ovarian serous carcinomas) and other types of carcinomas, including squamous cell carcinomas. Similarly, the diagnosis of sarcomatoid and desmoplastic mesotheliomas remains challenging because the majority of these tumors show negative staining with mesothelial markers. Although a positive CK AE1/AE3 stain may be useful in this setting, it should be interpreted with caution and in the context of clinical and radiographic findings because sarcomatoid carcinomas and synovial sarcoma involving the pleural cavity show similar immunophenotypic profiles.

Ultrastructural analysis by transmission electron microscopy, although not being used as frequently in clinical practice, offers diagnostic clues to the mesothelial vs epithelial nature of the malignant process. Mesothelial cells have numerous surface microvilli that are long and slender without associated core rootlets, filaments, or terminal bars. Conversely, epithelial cells have few short and blunted microvilli clustering along the luminal border. 41-43

3.1 Recommendation

3.1.1. In individuals with pleural-based tumors, a designated limited panel of histochemical and immunohistochemical assays or ultrastructural analysis is recommended to distinguish between pleural adenocarcinoma and malignant mesothelioma in order to increase diagnostic accuracy (Grade 1B).

4.0 SMALL CELL VS NON- Small CELL CARCINOMA

Bronchogenic carcinomas of the lung have historically been divided into small cell and non-small cell carcinomas. 5,44,45 The small cell carcinomas are high grade and mitotically active with neuroendocrine differentiation and usually present with thoracic and extrathoracic dissemination. 46 The cells are derived from endogenous, endodermally derived neuroendocrine cells and are characterized by dense-core neurosecretory granules identified ultrastructurally. The neurosecretory granules contain bioactive amines and peptides and are the source of paraneoplastic syndromes. With all the recent advances in the subtyping of lung cancer, the distinction between small cell and non-small carcinoma remains significant. This separation underscores the major differences in their characteristic clinical presentation, behavior, and prognosis as well as in their therapeutic approaches and response.

Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) comprises 14% of all lung cancers, with >30,000 new cases diagnosed per year in the United States. 5 Almost all patients are heavy smokers, and the majority present with a hilar mass with subsequent peribronchial compression and obstruction. Nearly all patients present in advanced stages with disseminated disease, and the diagnosis relies primarily on small transbronchial biopsy samples or cytologic material. Despite the limitation of small sample size, a diagnosis can be determined by morphologic examination in the majority of cases. At times, cytologic preparations offer better preservation of cellular details, a key feature in the diagnosis. SCLCs are high-grade, mitotically active carcinomas with extensive necrosis and nuclear molding and chromatic basophilic smearing. As the name implies, the cells are small in size, generally two to three times the size of small lymphocytes. Key morphologic features of SCLCs include scant cytoplasm, high nuclear-to-cytoplasmatic ratio, nuclear molding, finely granular chromatin, and absent or inconspicuous nucleoli. Crush artifact and perivascular basophilic condensation (Azzopardi effect) often are seen. Architecturally, the tumor grows in large sheets and may be associated with vague organoid nesting, a ribbon-like pattern, and rosettes. The interobserver agreement is >95% when these criteria are satisfied. Although the morphologic criteria often are diagnostic, in certain problematic cases, immunohistochemistry is of value in the differential diagnosis. 42,44 TTF-1, although expressed in the majority of SCLCs, cannot be used as evidence of pulmonary origin because it is also expressed in small cell carcinomas of extrapulmonary sites. 46

In most cases, differentiating SCLC from non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is achieved by routine morphologic examination. The tumor cells of NSCLCs generally are larger with a moderate amount of cytoplasm, vesicular or coarse chromatin pattern, and prominent nucleoli. Nuclear molding and smearing are not usually seen. Glandular or squamous differentiation, either morphologically or by specific immunoreactivity to napsin A or p63, respectively, will aid in the diagnosis of NSCLC. One should also keep in mind that a small percentage of SCLCs belong to the category of combined SCLC, where at least 10% of the tumor shows morphologic features of a large cell carcinoma and rarely adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma. This distinction is particularly problematic in small tissue samples. Small cell carcinomas may be distinguished from non-Hodgkin lymphoma by identifying immunoreactive TTF-1 and neuroendocrine markers in SCLC and their absence combined with lymphoid immunophenotyping in lymphoma. 40

SCLCs may show overlapping morphologic features with a spectrum of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors with diverse epidemiologic associations, biologic behavior, and survival rates. The high-grade, large
Histologic grading of adenocarcinomas is cytologically similar to other NSCLCs but shows more classic morphologic patterns of neuroendocrine architecture, such as organoid, trabecular, or palisading, and immunoreactivity to at least one neuroendocrine marker. The intermediate-grade atypical carcinoid and the low-grade typical carcinoid tend to show relatively bland and uniform cytopathic characters with moderate granular cytoplasm and finely granular chromatin and are histologically low grade, with only punctate necrosis and minimally elevated mitosis (2-10 per 2 mm²) seen in atypical carcinoids. In small biopsy samples, a Ki-67 proliferation index may offer a more accurate distinction between SCLCs with a high index of >50% to 70% and carcinoids with a low index of 5% to 15%. 50,51

4.1 Recommendation

4.1.1 In individuals with parenchymal-based tumors, distinguishing between small cell carcinoma and non-small cell carcinoma of the lung is recommended. For challenging cases, a diagnostic panel of immunohistochemical assays or ultrastructural analysis is recommended to increase the diagnostic accuracy (Grade 1B).

5.0 ADENOCARCINOMA, AIS (Bronchioloalveolar Carcinoma), and MIAs

The invasive adenocarcinomas are malignant tumors characterized by glandular or acinar differentiation, papillary structures, and cytoplasmic mucin vacuoles. 5,52,53 Histologic subclassification of these tumors includes acinar, papillary, micropapillary, and solid types, although frequently, the carcinomas comprise composite subtypes. Histologic grading of adenocarcinomas appears to have prognostic information for the likelihood of nodal metastases and overall survival. Grading has been performed on the basis of architectural and cytologic features or histologic subtyping, with acinar and papillary being low grade and solid adenocarcinoma being high grade. 54

Historically, adenocarcinomas were designated as scar carcinomas arising peripherally in a fibroblastic background. Some of these cancers have developed within inflammatory scars; however, it is currently understood that adenocarcinomas promote a host stromal desmoplastic response similar to adenocarcinomas of the breast and pancreas and, consequently, induce the stromal background rather than arise within it. Invasive adenocarcinoma resection specimens may demonstrate, in a minority of cases, small separate peripheral lesions that can be multiple, small (<5 mm), and remote from the main tumor. 52,53 These lesions are characterized by atypical cuboidal to low columnar cells with resemblance to reactive type 2 pneumocytes and have been termed “atypical alveolar hyperplasia” (AAH), “bronchioloalveolar adenoma,” and “alveolar epithelial hyperplasia.” The lesions are easily recognized microscopically, and their peripheral growth pattern may blend with adjacent normal lung. The alveolar septae may be thin or thickened, and the atypical cells are noninvasive without mitotic activity. Although AAH tends to show variable cytologic atypia, when the lesion appears >5 mm, shows continuous and uniform cytologic atypia with surface (or lepidic) septal growth, and becomes well demarcated from the adjacent normal lung, the term “bronchioloalveolar carcinoma” (BAC) had historically been used. The current change in nomenclature designates pure BAC as AIS. 52,53,55 It was judged by a panel of experts that the diagnosis of BAC was rendered for a variety of lesions dominated by lepidic tumor growth with and without stromal invasion and that the extent of invasion was not quantified. 52,53,55

Those lesions with a predominant lepidic growth pattern and central stromal invasion (defined next) were designated as MIAs. Histologic distinction between AAH and AIS may be difficult, and immunohistochemical assays are not necessarily helpful. AIS may appear radiographically as ground glass opacity without central densities, and radiologic evaluation of AAH is often difficult.

AIS (formerly BAC) can only be diagnosed when the entire lesion is evaluated histologically and there is no invasive component, no lymphovascular permeation, no pleural extension, and no nodal spread. 56 When individuals have these solitary noninvasive tumors excised, they are associated with an excellent 5- and 10-year survival. 55,57-61

Tumor cells in the lepidic growth pattern may comprise columnar mucinous goblet-like cells or hob-nailed nonmucinous serous-like cells. The mucinous pattern has an immunophenotypic profile resembling colonic differentiation with CK7-negative, CK20-positive, and TTF-1-negative phenotype. In contrast, the nonmucinous type, comprising type 2 alveolar pneumocytes or bronchiolar cell differentiation, shows an immunophenotype of CK7 and TTF-1 positivity and CK20 negativity. AIS may grow along alveolar surfaces or exhibit airway dissemination. Consequently, the carcinoma may appear as a single peripheral mass, as multicentric tumor nodules, or as a pneunmonic pattern.

Some adenocarcinomas demonstrate a central core of fibroblastic proliferation with cancer invasion that is in the range of few millimeters. 55,57-61 The image on CT scan may show a central density surrounded by a ground glass pattern. These tumors have also been associated with an excellent patient survival when completely excised. These mixed-type adenocarcinomas
with central invasion and peripheral lepidic growth pattern reveal a strong correlation not with the overall radiographic tumor size but with the size of the central invasive component and nodal spread and overall survival. The implication is that there may be a pathologically determined extent of invasion that separates biologically insignificant cancers from those with relative aggressive behavior. Importantly, the size of the central invasive adenocarcinoma is prognostically more important than the overall radiographic tumor size that includes the peripheral BAC-like growth front. Cohort studies demonstrated that size stratification of the central invasive core has prognostic survival implications; central fibrosis with invasion of \(<5\) mm showed no lymph node metastases and is predictive of nearly 100% survival.\(^5\,^5.59\)

On the basis of these findings, a new classification of invasive adenocarcinomas of the lung has been proposed that designates pure BAC as AIS, and tumors with a small central invasive scar \((\leq 5\) mm) as MIA. Invasive adenocarcinoma of the lung includes the acinar, papillary, micropapillary, mucinous, and solid subtypes.\(^5\)

Invasive adenocarcinomas demonstrate clinical prognostic behavior resulting from the invasive component and the tumor grade. Tumors that were termed mixed adenocarcinomas given their combined central invasive core and peripheral lepidic pattern are now differentiated on the basis of the size of the invasive core. The MIA with \(\leq 5\) mm of central invasive carcinoma has excellent overall survival relative to an adenocarcinoma whose invasive component exceeds 1 or 2 cm. Needle core biopsy specimens are informative for radiographic tumors with large central densities; however, AIS or MIA cannot be reliably diagnosed from a needle biopsy specimen alone. The histologic separation of AIS from MIA and invasive adenocarcinoma can only be performed when the entire tumor has been excised and examined pathologically.

5.1 Recommendation

5.1.1. For individuals with glandular producing tumors, distinguishing AIS and MIA from invasive adenocarcinomas is recommended (Grade 1C).

Remarks: Pathologic discrimination among these diagnostic entities are made on complete review of the tumor and not on needle biopsies.

6.0 Adenocarcinoma vs Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Lung

Historically, the most clinically significant decision with respect to lung cancer classification was the distinction between SCLC and NSCLC.\(^5\) Implications associated with the two most common subtypes of NSCLC, namely adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma, have played a critical role in guiding the individualized targeted therapy. Particular molecular targets tend to be preferentially associated with adenocarcinoma rather than squamous cell carcinoma, and certain chemotherapeutic agents show either favorable outcomes (adenocarcinoma) or greater side effects (squamous cell carcinoma) between these histologic types. The clinical demand for precise classification of adenocarcinoma vs squamous cell carcinoma is especially heightened in patients who present with advanced staged disease, where cytologic material and small tissue biopsy samples are the basis of selecting the most effective chemotherapy regimen while avoiding life-threatening side effects.

Histologic features characteristic of adenocarcinoma (tubulopapillary formation and mucin production) or squamous cell carcinoma (keratinization and intercellular bridges), although very helpful, are mostly seen in well-differentiated tumors and may be focal in nature. In the small samples and especially when the tumor lacks histologic hallmarks of glandular or squamous differentiation, this crucial distinction may be challenging. A mucin stain (mucicarmine or periodic acid-Schiff with and without diastase) may reveal intracytoplasmic vacuoles or luminal positivity indicative of glandular expression. In addition, a panel of immunomarkers, including TTF-1 and napsin A for adenocarcinoma and p63 and CK5/6 for squamous cell carcinoma, have been successfully used as an adjunct to morphology (Fig 3).\(^62,63\)

The need for tissue preservation for molecular studies has been the driving force for developing an algorithmic approach to maximize the efficiency of immunohistochemical assays and minimize tissue utilization. Usually TTF-1 and/or napsin A immunoreactivity support a diagnosis of adenocarcinoma, and p63 favors squamous cell carcinoma. On occasion, p63 has suboptimal specificity as a marker of squamous differentiation, given overlapping reactivity with adenocarcinoma. Most recently, p40 (ΔNp63), a p63 isoform, is shown to be superior to p63 and other markers of squamous differentiation, with sensitivity and specificity reaching 100%.\(^64,65\) The usefulness of a minimal panel of p40 and TTF-1 has also been shown in small biopsy samples, aspiration cytology, and cell

---

**Table:** Immunohistochemical panel for squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunohistochemical Marker</th>
<th>Squamous cell carcinoma</th>
<th>Adenocarcinoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p63, CK5/6, p40</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent (in a majority of cases)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTF-1, Napsin A</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present (in a majority of cases)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CK = cytokeratin. See Figure 2 legend for expansion of other abbreviation.
6.1 Recommendation

6.1.1. In individuals with pathologically diagnosed NSCLC, additional discrimination between adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma, even on cytologic material or small tissue samples, is recommended (Grade 1B).

Remark: The precise subclassification is achieved in most cases by conventional histo- and cytomorphology. Immunohistochemical assays are recommended in cases where routine histopathologic differentiation is difficult to ascertain.

7.0 Primary vs Metastatic Lung Cancer

The distinction between primary lung cancers and metastatic lung cancers is made through clinical history and patient presentation, radiographic and imaging techniques, and optimal specimen acquisition and evaluation. Primary tumors of the lung may present in a typical clinical, radiologic, and pathologic pattern. Although lung cancers may occur in any lobe, either peripherally or centrally, squamous cell carcinomas tend to present as near-hilar masses and are associated with bronchial metaplasia and squamous dysplasia. The cases are found in cigarette smokers, and radiologic imaging of COPD and histologic features of chronic bronchitis and emphysematous changes are seen. Adenocarcinomas tend to present in a peripheral location, show retraction or invasion of the visceral pleura, and are associated with tumor desmoplasia or scar. Large cell and small cell carcinomas of the lung also have their typical settings and presentations. Metastatic tumors from the epidemiologically most typical sites (breast, colon, and prostate) tend to show more expansile growth in the lung rather than infiltrative growth, with adjacent lung retraction more typical of primary lung cancers.

The difficult differential diagnosis occurs with the identification of a single metastatic site of adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma in the absence of a known primary carcinoma. Squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck and adenocarcinomas of the GI tract may mimic primary lung cancers. Gross and microscopic features of the tumor may provide clues to its primary origin. Lung tumors tend to arise in bronchogenic squamous metaplasia and squamous dysplasia, show infiltrative rather than pushing growth margins, and retract rather than bulge the visceral pleura. Adenocarcinomas from other visceral sites, such as endometrial carcinoma, papillary thyroid carcinoma, clear cell (renal) carcinoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma, may have their own unique histopathologic features. These tumors may be suggested as metastatic based on their cytologic and histologic patterns, and their primary diagnosis should be pursued. Overwhelmingly, lymphomas and sarcomas in the lung are metastatic tumors.

Immunohistochemical analysis has greatly assisted the surgical pathologist in the differential diagnosis of primary vs metastatic carcinoma and has increased the ability to identify those metastatic tumors of unknown origin,68-72 The currently preferred immunohistochemical marker for the identification of primary lung carcinoma is TTF-1. This factor is selectively expressed embryologically in the thyroid follicular cells and in airway and parenchymal cells of the lung, Papillary, follicular, and medullary carcinomas of the thyroid show strong immunoreactivity for TTF-1. In addition, primary lung cancers of adenocarcinoma and small cell carcinoma show diffuse strong immunoreactivity. Squamous cell carcinoma of the lung is nonimmunoreactive for TTF-1. Adenocarcinomas from other sites, such as the GI tract and the breast, are nonreactive for TTF-1. Micropapillary adenocarcinomas may be present in a variety of visceral sites, and their differentiation may be ascertained by several immunohistochemical panels.73

Although the carcinomas of the lung are immunoreactive for a set of cellular CKs, the specific CK components from the large family of these cytoplasmic filaments are somewhat unique to each tumor type. By identifying the immunoreactivity to CK7 and CK20, additional information may provide support for the differential diagnosis of primary vs metastatic carcinomas.72,74

Most helpful in the analysis is the differential between primary lung adenocarcinoma and metastatic adenocarcinoma of colorectal origin. These carcinomas may appear identical histologically yet have opposite immunohistochemical profiles. In the majority of cases, primary lung adenocarcinomas are TTF-1 positive, CK7 positive, and CK20 negative; colorectal adenocarcinomas have the opposite findings of TTF-1- and CK7-negative and CK20-positive immunoreactivity. Colorectal carcinomas are also CDX-2 immunoreactive; primary lung adenocarcinomas are nonreactive.75,76

The diagnosis of tumors of unknown origin may also be elucidated by their selective expression of CK7 and CK20 immunohistochemistry. Carcinomas immunoreactive for CK7 and CK20 tend to be those from the urinary bladder. Carcinomas nonimmunoreactive for CK7 and CK20 may be metastases from the liver, kidney, and prostate. In addition, some tumors have specific immunoidentifying markers, such as prostate-specific antigen for prostate carcinoma, thyroglobulin...
for thyroid carcinoma, α-fetoprotein and human chorionic gonadotropin for certain germ cell tumors, Hep-1 for hepatocellular carcinoma, estrogen receptor for some breast and gynecologic cancers, and MART-1 and Melan-A for malignant melanoma. Although there are abundant immunoreactive assays, the diagnostic approach should be focused by the clinical history, radiologic information, and histopathologic pattern.

7.1 Recommendation

7.1.1. For individuals with lung tumors whose differential includes primary lung carcinoma vs metastatic carcinoma, a directed panel of immunohistochemical assays is recommended to increase the diagnostic accuracy (Grade 1C).

8.0 CONCLUSION

In a multidisciplinary approach to the treatment of patients with lung cancer, pathologic examination provides precise diagnosis, staging information, and histologic correlation with clinical behavior; management options; and biologic insights of the tumor. The surgical pathology report should contain a synoptic database to inform the clinical team about the multi-parameter aspect of the tumor diagnosis. The surgical pathologist should address, within a collaborative team effort, challenging diagnostic issues, such as differentiating pleural-based adenocarcinoma from malignant mesothelioma, separating small cell carcinoma from non-small cell carcinoma, discriminating between invasive adenocarcinoma and favorable subtypes of AIS and MIA, distinguishing between adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma, and identifying primary vs metastatic carcinomas. The pathologist should be provided with the clinical information and radiographic findings and incorporate these data with the histopathologic evaluation. The pathologist should also collaborate with the clinical team to enhance specimen acquisition and testing so that optimal information can be obtained from the available tissue. Much information can be determined from routine histopathologic analysis; additional information will be gained from a limited panel of immunohistochemical reactivity. New molecular biologic pathways and prognostic factors will amplify the pathologic conclusions and provide avenues toward directed therapy of a tumor’s proliferative activity, invasiveness, angiogenesis, and metastatic potential.
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