Bring Back the Ward Laboratories

To the Editor:

In the editorial by Dr. A. Jay Block,1 which appeared in the November 1993 issue of Chest, the author laments the disappearance of the ward laboratory and expresses some bewilderment over why this has occurred. In the inner-city hospital facing financial cuts in which I work, the motivation for its removal may have been financial, as tests performed in a ward laboratory are unreimbursed while those performed in the central laboratory are billable.

I would not join with Dr. Block in pressing for the return of such work areas, as they are used by various people who are both pressed for time and do not view housekeeping as being among their responsibilities and, hence, rapidly become littered with broken micropipettes and glass slides of unknown contagion. The staff with which I now work routinely assumes a certain risk of exposure to HIV, tuberculosis, and hepatitis as part of caring for our patient population. I believe that there is no shame in refusing to assume unnecessary risks. If ward laboratories were returned, I would not use them unless dedicated staff were provided to monitor routine waste disposal. However, this is unlikely as routine services are being cut as part of cost-containment measures.

Robert J. Downey, M.D.,
College of Physicians & Surgeons,
Columbia University,
New York
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Nonphysician Placement of Arterial Catheters

To the Editor:

The article entitled “Nonphysician Placement of Arterial Catheters,”1 by Drs. Gronbeck and Miller, which appeared in the December 1993 issue of Chest, as well as the editorial comment by Dr. Tenholder2 in the same issue are interesting. We have had a great deal of reservation regarding the indications for arterial lines and indwelling lines in our practice. We have always felt that the quicker we could remove lines and intravascular injection or withdrawal of materials it would be to the benefit of the patient. The indications for arterial lines in some instances seem to be very liberal; for example, does every thoracotomy or every ICU patient require an arterial line or every open heart patient or every COPD patient having surgery?

Complications and risk of these intravascular lines including infections and loss of extremities have been well documented in the world literature. The article by Gronbeck, raises the question as to the reason for respiratory therapy insertion of these lines. Was this to have a more economical procedure which might be used to a greater extent or was this to free the burdens of the physician? How many additional arterial lines were placed in patients in their institution in this same period and were they all indicated, or as Dr. Tenholder implies, there should be more rigid or firm guidelines for the indications of arterial lines. It is appropriate and timely that the indications for arterial lines be reviewed and questioned. Furthermore, it is a shame that possibly the indications for review of this comes up under cost effectiveness in the current climate of healthcare cost as compared with having come up under quality of care and whether they are necessary to start with. Your article and editorial comments are welcomed and certainly fruit for thought. I appreciate the opportunity to comment.

Raymond A. Dieter, Jr., M.D., F.C.C.P.,
Glen Ellyn Clinic,
Glen Ellyn, Illinois
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Errata

In the selected report, “Sinus Arrest Associated With Dipyridamole Infusion,” by Lo Mauro et al (Chest 1994; 105:604-05), the sentence that begins at the bottom of column 2, page 604 and continues on page 605 should read, “The arrhythmia described eventually appeared about 15 min after vein cannulation and 3 min after the end of dipyridamole infusion. Accordingly, we think it possible that sinus arrest in our patient was related to dipyridamole infusion.”

The equation found on page 1,205 in the article by Jounieaux et al, “Synchronized Intermittent Mandatory With and Without Pressure Support Ventilation in Weaning Patients With COPD From Mechanical Ventilation,” (Chest 1994; 104:1204-10) should have a division line:

\[ V_{O_2} = \frac{V_{E} \times (STPD) \times (F_{\text{IO}_2} - F_{\text{EO}_2})}{1 - F_{\text{EO}_2} - F_{\text{CO}_2}} \]