Value of Bedside Plating of Semiquantitative Cultures for Diagnosis of Central Venous Catheter-related Infections in ICU Patients*

Oleh W. Hnatiuk, M.D.; James Pike, D.O.; Daniel Stoltzfus, M.D.; and William Lane, M.D.

We compared semiquantitave central venous catheter tip cultures plated at the bedside with those cultured in the laboratory, to determine if bedside plating provides a significantly better yield. Paired segments of 197 catheter tips from 92 surgical and medical ICU patients were evaluated prospectively. A total of 31 catheter tip cultures were positive for ≥15 organisms per agar plate, with 10 of these being simultaneously positive at the bedside and in the laboratory. Cultures were exclusively positive in 18 cases plated immediately at the bedside, whereas laboratory plating resulted in only 3 exclusively positive cases. This discrepancy was statistically significant (p<0.005). Compared with bedside plating, the sensitivity and specificity of sending catheters to the laboratory were 36 percent and 98 percent, respectively. These results indicate that the practice of sending central venous catheter tips to the laboratory for routine culture should be reconsidered in favor of bedside plating.

(Chest 1993; 103:896-99)

Semiquantitative culture of catheter segments, as originally described by Maki et al., is widely used in identification of infections associated with intravascular catheters. It is considered the easiest and most labor-efficient method available to detect catheter-associated infection. It has been used in studies looking at rates of infection in different types of catheters, risk factors for the development of catheter-related complications, and methods of identifying catheter-related infection. In all of these, the catheter tip was transported to the laboratory for culture.

Based on observed discrepancies between Gram stain and semiquantitative culture results, two of these studies suggested the possibility that routine transport and handling of catheter tips prior to culturing leads to loss of viable organisms. Because of its important role in identifying catheter-related infections and because of the concerns raised by these authors, we attempted to prospectively evaluate the hypothesis that routine laboratory culture of catheter tips is associated with decreased sensitivity compared with cultures plated at the bedside.

Methods and Materials

Catheter Collection

Between August 1990 and July 1991, intravascular catheters located in central veins of adult surgical and medical ICU patients were cultured. Any type of central venous catheter was accepted for study.

In our ICUs, catheter sites are covered with clear or opaque occlusive dressings, which are changed at 72 h and 24 h, respectively. Antibiotic ointment is not routinely used. Any central catheters placed outside the ICU setting (except in the operating room) are considered contaminated and replaced. Multiple guide wire exchanges are allowed and are mandatory at 5-day intervals. Multiple exchanges of Swan-Ganz pulmonary artery catheters are allowed. Otherwise, absolute indications for catheter exchange or removal are the same as those suggested by Norwood et al.

Prior to working in the ICUs, all housestaff were required to be familiar with procedures for removal of catheters, exchange of catheters over guidewire using the Seldinger technique, bedside plating of catheter segments, and preparation of segments for transport to the microbiology laboratory. This was accomplished by requiring housestaff to view a training film produced specifically for this protocol.

Catheters were removed by the physician(s) responsible for the patient's care, using strict aseptic technique. Sterile gowns and gloves, sterile barriers, and caps were required. The skin surrounding the insertion point was cleaned with povidone-iodine and allowed to dry. Removal was accomplished using sterile scissors and forceps.

The last 6 cm of each catheter was divided into two 3-cm subsegments: a distal segment (including the tip) and one just proximal to that. The 6-cm total segment length was chosen because this closely approximates the original catheter length of Maki et al. and is the length used in other studies. Catheter tip cultures were chosen over intracutaneous segment cultures because the intracutaneous segment is more difficult to culture and does not appear to provide significantly different results from the distal tip. The catheter tip is also widely used.

For the first approximately 100 catheters, the proximal subsegment was plated onto agar immediately at the bedside and the distal portion was transported to the laboratory for culture in the usual manner. For the next approximately 100 catheters, the distal subsegment was plated at the bedside and the proximal portion was sent to the laboratory. There were cases where subsegments were cultured in reverse of the order prescribed by protocol. These cases were included in the final analysis, with the appropriate location of culture being noted.

At the time of catheter removal, physicians were asked to fill out...
Catheter subsegments were sent to the laboratory were cultured using semi-quantitative technique described by Maki et al. The catheter subsegments were plated onto 5 percent sheep-blood agar and incubated at 37°C. Inspection of the plates for microbial growth was done daily and colony counts were performed by visual inspection. Isolate identification was accomplished by standard microbiologic methods.

For catheter subsegments cultured immediately at the bedside, the segment was transferred to a plate containing 5 percent sheep-blood agar using sterile forceps. It was then rolled over the surface four to five times, while avoiding plating the same area more than once. These plates were then incubated at 37°C in a portable incubator located in the ICU until they were transported to the microbiology laboratory. Transport was accomplished within 24 to 48 h. Subsequent processing was identical to that of subsegments cultured in the laboratory as described above.

Definitions

Catheter-related infection is defined as microbial growth of ≥15 colonies per semi-quantitative agar plate.12 Catheter-related bacteremia (includes fungemia) is defined as isolation of the same organism from catheter segment and at least one blood culture drawn from a different site within 48 h of catheter removal, in the absence of other suspected or documented sources of infection. The catheter was considered to be hematogenously seeded if there were other suspected or documented foci of infection.

Full concordance between catheter subsegments is defined as growth of ≥15 colonies of only the same organism(s) on both subsegments. Partial concordance between catheter subsegments is defined as growth of ≥15 colonies of at least one of the same organisms on both catheter segments.

Statistical Methods

Using a software package (STATISTIX 3.1), paired comparison of semi-quantitative cultures was accomplished using the McNemar test for symmetry. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated using standard statistical methods.

Results

One-hundred ninety-seven catheters from 92 patients were analyzed. The patient population included 63 male and 29 female subjects with a mean age of 63.7 years (range, 20 to 92 years). All catheters were central venous catheters, including 76 triple lumen catheters, 60 Swan-Ganz pulmonary artery catheters, 54 Cordis introducer catheters, 5 single lumen catheters, and 1 dialysis catheter (Table 1). The type of catheter was not specified in one case. Ninety-two catheters were from the internal jugular vein, 49 were from the subclavian vein, 34 were from the femoral vein, and 4 were from other sites (mostly external jugular). In 18 cases, the site of insertion was not reported.

Semi-quantitative cultures of 31 (15.5 percent) catheter tips were positive for ≥15 colonies per plate (Table 2). Nine of these grew more than one organism. Plating onto agar at the bedside resulted in 28 of 197 cases (14.2 percent) growing ≥15 colonies per plate. Laboratory plating of specimens submitted in sterile tubes resulted in 13 of 197 cases (6.6 percent) growing ≥15 colonies per plate. Ten of these cases were positive both at the bedside and in the laboratory. Cultures were exclusively positive in three catheters plated in the laboratory, whereas positive cultures were found exclusively in 18 catheters plated immediately at the bedside. This discrepancy was statistically significant (p = 0.0011; McNemar’s test for symmetry). The sensitivity and specificity of sending catheters to the laboratory for culture, assuming bedside plating as the “gold standard,” would be 36 percent and 98 percent, respectively.

During the first half of the study, when the proximal subsegment was plated at the bedside and the distal subsegment was plated in the laboratory, the difference between exclusively positive cultures at the bedside (12) vs exclusively positive cultures in the laboratory (2) was associated with a p value of 0.008 (n = 93). During the second half of the study, when the distal subsegment was plated at the bedside and the proximal subsegment in the lab, the difference be-

### Table 1—Catheter Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of catheter</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triple lumen</td>
<td>76 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swan-Ganz</td>
<td>60 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordis introducer</td>
<td>54 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single lumen</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of insertion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal jugular</td>
<td>92 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subclavian</td>
<td>49 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral</td>
<td>34 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2—Frequency of Distribution of Semiquantitative Cultures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agar (Bedside Plating)</th>
<th>≥15 Colonies</th>
<th>&lt;15 Colonies</th>
<th>Organisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tube</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Plating</td>
<td>&lt;15 colonies</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥15 colonies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHEST / 103 / 3 / MARCH, 1993
Table 3—Organisms Yielding Growth on Semiquantitative Plate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Positive</th>
<th>Positive Bedside Only</th>
<th>Positive Laboratory Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast (3 Candida albicans; 1 not identified)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serratia marcescens</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrobacter freundii</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Bacillus species (1), Enterobacter cloacae (1), Beta-strep (2), Staphylococcus species [not further identified])</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tween exclusively positive cultures at the bedside (6) vs exclusively positive cultures in the lab (1) was associated with a p value of 0.059 (n = 104).

Fifty-four organisms showed significant growth on semiquantitative culture (Table 3). For purposes of tabulation, each organism from multiply colonized catheters was designated separately. Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus was the most commonly isolated organism (25 of 54 organisms). In cases where only bedside plating revealed ≥15 colonies, the most commonly cultured organism was also coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (10 of 21 organisms). Bedside-plated cultures identified infection with yeast (2), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (2), Staphylococcus aureus (1), Staphylococcus haemolyticus (2), and others (4), when laboratory-plated cultures were negative. In the ten cases where laboratory plating and bedside plating were both positive, there was total concordance among organisms in eight cases and partial concordance in the rest.

Seven organisms caused catheter-related bacteremia in five cases. Two of these cases occurred in the group of catheters positive only at the bedside. One of the catheters positive only in the laboratory resulted in catheter-related bacteremia.

Contamination of either bedside plated agar (21), laboratory plated agar (4), or both (4) resulted in exclusion from analysis of 29 cases of paired data. The majority of bedside-plated agar contaminations occurred early in the study.

**DISCUSSION**

A semiquantitative catheter tip culture yielding growth of ≥15 colonies of bacteria on blood agar serves as a useful marker for the development of subsequent catheter-related bacteremia and sepsis. This association is true for both predominantly peripheral catheters1 and predominantly central venous catheters.7,9,12 For this reason, a positive culture is considered an indication for removal of the catheter from the insertion site.13 Anything that interferes with the growth of significant numbers of colonies on blood agar results in loss of the opportunity to identify catheters at risk for bacteremia. Our study is the first to evaluate whether the current practice of sending catheter tips to the laboratory results in a lower sensitivity for catheter-related infection, compared with bedside culturing.

In 1985, Cooper and Hopkins7 reported on the use of Gram stain to rapidly identify catheter-associated infection. In this study of 330 catheters from 224 patients, they found 9 cases where a positive Gram stain was associated with growth of <15 colonies on blood agar. Most of these organisms were yeast, and four of these catheters were associated with fungemia. The authors stated that “at least some of the catheters that we have designated as having false positive Gram stains may instead have had false negative cultures. . . . It is conceivable that organisms, such as Candida, that thrive in moist environments may not survive for a prolonged period on dry plastic surfaces. It is perhaps relevant that most catheters in this study were held for one to two hours or longer before cultures were performed.” In 1987, Collignon and others10 also compared Gram-stained “impression smears” of intravascular catheters with semiquantitative cultures of 322 catheters. In 16 cases, Gram stain showed ≥2+ organisms when colony count was <15 colonies. The authors stated that “The reasons these catheters were negative on semiquantitative culture are unclear. . . . the possibility (exists) that transport in a “dry” container may have resulted in loss of viability.” The results of our study, demonstrating a significantly higher yield of positive catheter tips at the bedside, including two fungal cultures positive only at the bedside, adds to this literature and supports the premise that the delay associated with routine laboratory culture of catheter tips results in loss of viable organisms.

In this study, the rate of catheter-related infection in cultures plated at the bedside was higher (14.2 percent) than in those cultures plated in the laboratory.
(6.6 percent). In the literature, the rate of catheter-related infection has been reported to be anywhere from 10 to 14 percent, although direct comparisons between multiple studies are not easy to make. This is primarily due to the large number of factors influencing microbial colonization of intravascular catheters that cannot be controlled for, as well as the different definitions and terms used to identify infection. However, in the two studies in which specimens were reportedly taken to the laboratory immediately and immediately plated, the rate of catheter-related infection was higher than that reported in the remaining literature. Together, these observations add support to the hypothesis that routine laboratory culture of catheter tips is associated with a lower yield, compared with immediate culture.

Bedside plating of catheter tip cultures has previously been used to study infection associated with arterial catheters. Its successful use requires that sheep-blood agar plates must be available in the ICU and that physicians handling the specimens must be familiar with culture plating techniques. Also, an incubator may be required if these plates are not taken to the laboratory immediately. Considering the benefits of increased detection of catheter-related infection, these requirements appear reasonable to us.

Two findings require additional comment. First, the number of contaminated bedside cultured agars (21), compared with the number of contaminated laboratory plated specimens (4), was high. This was believed to be due to presence of a learning curve associated with bedside plating of cultures and is supported by the fact that the majority of these contaminations occurred early in the study. Second, the difference between exclusively positive bedside-plated cultures and exclusively positive laboratory-plated cultures during the second half of the study was associated with a p value of 0.059. We attributed this to the smaller number of infected catheter subsegments during this time period. When the results from both proximal and distal subsegments were combined (representative of the entire 6 cm segment usually cultured), there was a statistically higher incidence of bedside-positive catheter cultures.

In conclusion, culture of catheter tips at the bedside provides significantly more positive results than cultures plated in the laboratory. Identifying more catheter-related infections may further decrease the incidence of catheter-related bacteremia and sepsis. When attempting to diagnose a catheter-related infection, bedside plating of catheter tip cultures should be considered in place of sending catheters to the laboratory for routine culture.
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