Quantitative Assessment of Global and Regional Left Ventricular Function With Low-contrast Dose Digital Subtraction Ventriculography*


Few studies have compared the use of low-contrast dose digital subtraction ventriculography with conventional ventriculography for quantitative assessment of both global and regional left ventricular function. Accordingly, 34 patients underwent conventional ventriculography using 36 ml of ionic contrast material and digital ventriculography (mask-mode) using 10 ml of contrast diluted in 10 ml of saline and injected over two seconds. Data from two patients were excluded because of ectopy during cineventriculography and from one because of ectopy during both studies. End-diastolic and end-systolic volumes were calculated from both studies by an area-length method and used to calculate ejection fractions. Regional wall motion was quantitated by the centerline method. Results of linear regression analysis demonstrated high correlations for all parameters (end-diastolic volume, r = 0.85; end-systolic volume, r = 0.93; ejection fraction, r = 0.92; quantitative regional wall motion, r = 0.90). Thus, low-contrast dose digital subtraction ventriculography provides an accurate assessment of both global and regional ventricular function and minimizes the required dose and inherent risks of contrast media.

Digital intravenous (IV) ventriculography has recently been shown to provide accurate determinations of global and regional left ventricular function compared with conventional contrast ventriculography. Although this technique provides high-quality ventricular images in a minimally invasive fashion and improved resolution compared with other noninvasive imaging techniques, the use of relatively large doses of contrast material limits its usefulness in certain patient subgroups intolerant to the hemodynamic effects of contrast or in whom repeated ventriculograms are needed. The hemodynamic perturbations induced by contrast material have recently been shown to be similar whether contrast is admin-

For editorial comment see page 560

tered IV or directly into the left ventricle. As a consequence, several groups have proposed the use of direct, low-contrast dose left ventriculography to overcome these drawbacks while forgoing the advantage of less invasive IV techniques. Relatively few studies have compared this new method with conventional contrast ventriculography for the assessment of volume and ejection fraction measurements. What is more, comparative assessment of regional function on a quantitative basis has rarely been reported. The purpose of this study was to compare standard left ventriculography with low-contrast dose digital ventriculography for the quantitative measurement of both global and regional left ventricular function.

**Methods**

**Patient Population**

All patients referred for cardiac catheterization were eligible for this study except when renal insufficiency was present. Thirty-four patients, 43 to 67 years of age, were studied. On the basis of coronary arteriography, five were normal and 29 had significant (>50 percent) coronary stenoses of at least one major coronary artery. The majority of patients were taking concomitant drugs up to the time of cardiac catheterization, but no new medications were administered between the acquisition of the ventriculograms, which were obtained within 15 minutes of each other during a single cardiac catheterization.

**Clinical Protocol**

All patients underwent coronary arteriography by the Sones or Judkins technique with multiple angulated views. A 7-French pigtail catheter was advanced into the left ventricle, and a low-contrast dose digital ventriculogram was acquired using 10 ml of sodium meglumine diatrizoate (Renografin 76) diluted and agitated in 10 ml of normal saline solution just prior to power injection at 10 ml/second for two seconds. This technique caused no significant changes in left ventricular systolic or end-diastolic pressures. Ten to fifteen minutes later, a standard cineventriculogram was acquired using 36 ml of contrast injected over three seconds. All images were obtained in the 30° right anterior oblique projection.
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**Imaging System**

The angiographic facility utilizes a Philips Optimus M200 unit that provides standard 35-mm cineradiography, as well as ECG-gated, multiple-frame per cardiac cycle, 5-msec/frame exposures onto a 1,000:1 signal-to-noise ratio Plumbicon television camera. Radiation exposure is 25 meR/frame. For digital ventriculography, the video signals are digitized on-line through a 10-bit A to D converter onto a digital disk with a 7 megabyte/second transfer rate, using a commercial minicomputer (ADAC, DPS-4100) for final image processing. The ventricular images are digitized at 30 frames per second into a 256 × 256 × 8-bit matrix and displayed after digital-to-analog conversion.

**Data Analysis**

The entire digital ventriculographic acquisition was analyzed to obtain precontrast mask frames and the frames constituting the single best opacified beat, excluding ectopic or immediately postectopic beats. In the early phase of the study, the mask was formed by integrating up to 16 frames precontrast injection, whereas subsequently mask-mode subtraction was performed by matching both precontrast and postcontrast images to the same phase of the cardiac cycle (ECG-synchronized mask-mode subtraction). Because patient motion was kept to a minimum, neither method of mask selection produced significant differences in ventricular quantitation. The processed beat was contrast enhanced to optimize border definition, and the outlines of the largest and smallest ventricular images were drawn and stored (Fig 1). A magnification correction factor was obtained by imaging a 1-cm, cross-hatched grid at the same distance as the distance measured from the image intensifier to the midchest level of the subject. End-diastolic and end-systolic volumes and the ejection fraction were then determined by an area-length method.6

Regional wall motion was quantitated by the centerline method developed and validated at the University of Washington (Seattle).3,8 Briefly, this method measures motion at 100 equidistant chords constructed perpendicular to a centerline drawn midway between the end-diastolic and end-systolic contours. To adjust for heart size, the chord lengths are divided by the perimeter of the end-diastolic contour and expressed in terms of a dimensionless percent shortening fraction. The shortening fraction for each chord is then normalized by subtracting the mean chordal shortening of a normal group and dividing by the SD of chord motion of the normal population. Thus, wall motion is expressed in terms of SDs per chord (SD/C). This standardization allows comparisons between different segments of the same heart and between different hearts. Quantitative wall motion was determined for anterior and inferior regions. Abnormalities of motion in the anterior wall were ascribed to significant stenoses of the left anterior descending or nondominant circumflex systems. Inferior abnormalities were considered to be due to disease in the right coronary or dominant circumflex systems (Fig 2).

The same methods of volume and wall motion analysis were applied to the cineventriculograms after selection, digitization, and outlining of the largest and smallest endocardial images.

To determine the reproducibility of the measurements, parameters were recalculated from the low dose digital ventriculograms from ten patients by one observer on two occasions at least six weeks apart and by a second independent observer. Correlations were determined by linear regression analysis.

**Results**

Data from two patients who had ectopic beats during cineventriculography and one patient who had ectopy during both conventional and digital ventriculography were excluded.

**Volume and Ejection Fraction Measurements**

Figure 3 demonstrates the relationship between end-diastolic volumes determined from the cineventriculograms compared to the digital ventriculograms. The correlation coefficient was 0.85 (y = 0.80x + 27 ml, SEE = 20.1 ml, p < .001). End-systolic volumes (Fig 4) showed a higher correlation.
Regional Wall Motion

Figure 6 demonstrates a high degree of correlation for quantitative wall motion assessed from the digital and cineangiographic measurements ($r = 0.90, y = 0.88x + 0.02 \text{SD/C}, \text{SEE} = 0.92 \text{SD/C}, p < .001$). No differences were noted in the ability to define either anterior or inferior wall motion.

Reproducibility

The intraobserver variability was: end-diastolic volume, ±11.7 ml (±7.4 percent); end-systolic volume, ±8.7 ml (±12.9 percent); ejection fraction, ±6.4 units (±11.1 percent); regional wall motion, ±0.57 SD/C. The interobserver variability was: end-diastolic volume, ±12.3 ml (±7 percent); end-systolic volume, ±4.8 ml (±8.0 percent); ejection fraction, ±3.4 units (±6.0 percent); regional wall motion, ±0.66 SD/C.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this investigation confirm and extend the observations of previous investigators in determining the relative accuracy with which low-contrast dose digital ventriculography can be used to quantify
global ventricular function.6,11-13 The correlation parameters reported herein are intermediate to those previously published. For studies reporting greater correlations, the differences compared with this study that may account for the slightly disparate results include exclusion of patients with abnormal ventricles11 and the combining of both end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes to determine only one volume regression.6 In a study reporting inferior results for end-diastolic volumes, the relevant difference might be the use of small, undiluted doses of contrast in a study population similar to the current one which contained a substantial proportion of patients with large ventricles and low ejection fractions.12 Although not directly examined in this study, empirically it appears that small, undiluted doses of contrast do not consistently provide diagnostic images in patients with large, poorly contracting ventricles or when tachycardia is present. The dilution factor used in this study was based on this observation, and the additional observation that more excessively diluted contrast doses sometimes also yield inadequate opacification. It should be emphasized that although a rigid protocol is required for investigative studies, the optimal amount, dilution, and rate of contrast injection will be predicated by individual patient characteristics and should be adjusted accordingly as is practiced with standard ventriculography.

The quantitation of ventricular function is facilitated by on-line acquisition and digitization of ventricular images, eliminating the sometimes cumbersome process of separately digitizing cine or video images. In digital form, the images can be easily and routinely analyzed by objective methods. Despite this, little attention has been paid to the quantitation of digital ventricular images for determination of regional wall motion. In large measure, this is due to the absence of a universally accepted method of wall motion analysis.17-19 but the need for an objective means of quantitation for correlative studies is underscored by the degree of observer variability when subjective techniques are used.4,20 Only one previous study13 used a quantitative method to analyze and compare regional function from low dose digital ventriculograms and conventional ventriculograms. A moderate correlation (r = 0.81) was shown, which the authors ascribed to a suboptimal image frame rate of only 10/second, not to any potential deficiency of the digital ventriculographic images. Thus, the most significant contribution of the current study is that it extends the limited conclusions of that one prior study regarding the accuracy with which regional wall motion can be assessed from low-contrast dose digital ventriculograms and confirms that with a more appropriate framing rate (30/second), the quantitation of regional wall motion with low dose digital ventriculography correlates highly (r = 0.90) with similar quantitation of standard cineventriculograms.

While forgoing the advantage of IV digital ventriculography, which is minimally invasive, low-contrast dose direct ventriculography avoids the hemodynamic perturbations seen with both IV digital ventriculography and conventional ventriculography.10,12,13 This technique may not be as appropriate for screening patients or for outpatient procedures, but it is of definite clinical advantage as an adjunct to cardiac catheterization facilities, particularly when studying patients who do not tolerate the effects of the usual doses of contrast, including those with impaired renal function, aortic stenosis, unstable angina, or congestive failure. In addition, Tobis and co-workers12,21 have demonstrated the usefulness of this technique when multiple ventriculograms are needed to assess interventions such as atrial pacing or when biplane imaging is desired but unavailable. This group also demonstrated fewer premature ventricular contractions with the low dose protocol than with conventional ventriculography (0 vs 20 percent of patients, respectively). In the current study, this advantage was also evident but less dramatic, in that only three cineangiograms and one digital ventriculogram of 34 could not be analyzed because of excessive ectopy. Even so, when a digital acquisition run demonstrates ectopic beats, subtraction and contrast enhancement frequently still allow diagnostic imaging of faintly opacified sinus beats remote from the ectopic beat, an option not possible with conventional cineangiography.

Low-contrast dose digital subtraction ventriculography can be used accurately to determine both global and regional left ventricular function while minimizing the required dose and inherent risks of contrast media.
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